

**JOINT REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF SPORT, LEISURE AND PARKS
AND DIRECTOR OF TARGETED SERVICES FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE**

**'PATHFINDER' PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME FOR 2008/09
AND 2009/10**

1 SUMMARY

- 1.1 Following a successful application to receive 'Pathfinder' funding from the Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF), the City Council is looking to finalise the locations of the playgrounds to be improved as part of this scheme.
- 1.2 The proposed playground locations have been identified through a series of selection criteria and the funding has been allocated on a needs basis. This has resulted in the poorest sites with the highest score taking priority for funding.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Committee:-

- i) notes the proposals of the report for the City as a whole;
- ii) supports the allocation of 'Pathfinder' funding to the selected playgrounds within their corresponding area, both for the remainder of Year One (2008/09) and the whole of the Year Two (2009/10) spend;
- iii) notes the use of the 'Pathfinder spreadsheet' as a mechanism for identifying future playground investment priorities within each area and Citywide across Nottingham;
- iv) considers making contributions of Area Capital fund/resources towards delivery of Year Two projects as detailed in Section 6 of the report.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 In April 2008, the City Council (Children's Services) was successful in bidding to become one of the 'Pathfinder' authorities, receiving around £2.5 million of funding from the DCSF.
- 3.2 Following discussions between Parks and Open Spaces and Children's Services, the delivery of improving 'open access playgrounds' within the funding, is to be carried out by Parks and Open Spaces as it is part of the section's normal service delivery. The decision to adopt this process was approved at Executive Board on 20th May 2008 and decisions over approvals will rest with the Portfolio Holder for Communities, Leisure and Culture.

- 3.3 In order to identify the location for investment as comprehensively as possible, a Pathfinder Spreadsheet has been created (Appendix A), that details playgrounds which have not had significant investment over the past 3-4 years.
- 3.4 Various criteria have then been used to help prioritise these sites for investment, which has been linked to the Play Strategy and Breathing Spaces, along with others such as age of the equipment and availability of match funding.
- 3.5 In order to meet both financial and time restrictions, a three phased approach has been adopted.

4 PROPOSALS

- 4.1 The proposal for the Pathfinder scheme within the City Council is to improve the quality and use of around 30 playgrounds across the City.
- 4.2 This process will be spread over 2 years, with 15 sites being put forward for completion by the end of 2008/09 and the remaining 14 sites to be completed by the end of 2009/10.
- 4.3 The 'Destination' playground is a site that will incorporate a wider range of larger, more challenging equipment than a normal playground. It may include landscaping features, should be near to other facilities such as toilets and should be designed to attract children and families from outside the local area.

Area	Year One Schemes	Year Two Schemes
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bulwell Bogs • Hempshill Vale • Southglade Park 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bulwell Hall
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chediston Vale 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vernon Park • Whitemoor Park
West	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • King George V 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Birchover Park
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Independent Street • The Forest ('Destination' Site) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tennyson Street • Chard Street
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coppice Rec. • Pearmain 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carrington Lido • Sycamore Park
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • King Edward Park • Marmion Park 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greens Mill • Lees Hill
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lenton Abbey 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wollaton Park
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dunkirk Park 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Victoria Embankment
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breckswood Park • Sunninghill Drive 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Groveside • Colesbourne

- 4.4 Year One schemes are playgrounds that are to be refurbished before the end of March 2009. Each Area Committee has at least one Pathfinder funded play area in Year One that meets DCSF requirements.
- 4.5 Year Two schemes are playgrounds that are to be refurbished during the 2009/10 financial year.

4.6 The Parks and Open Spaces Section, along with Children's Services, are working with Councillors, Neighbourhood Management and local communities to source additional funding to ensure the maximum benefit can be achieved for this funding, as well as being fully involved in consultation around the design and composition of the playgrounds that will be improved. It is envisaged that the 'Pathfinder Spreadsheet' will then also be used in the future to help prioritise further playground improvements across the City.

5 TIMESCALE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF PROPOSALS

The programme for pathfinders is set to run from April 2008 to March 2011. However the DCSF have announced the capital programme must now be delivered within the first two years, with a third of the capital spent in the first year.

- Year One schemes are due to be completed before the end of March 2009.
- Year Two schemes are due to be completed by the end of March 2010.
- The 'destination' facility needs to be completed by the end of March 2010.

6 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 The indicative costs for each playground scheme are included within the Pathfinder Spreadsheet (Appendix 1).

6.2 The total grant from the Pathfinder funding package is £1,380,920 which is held within Children's Services. To comply with the conditions of grant £460,300 is required to be spent by 31 March 2009. The following table shows the analysis of projects to be undertaken this financial year. All Year One Pathfinder playgrounds are fully funded, with other funding secured as match funding contributions (from sources such as Section 106, Area Capital and internal budgets).

Playground	Total Cost £,000	Pathfinder Funding £,000	Area Capital £,000	Section 106 £,000	Other Funding Value £,000	Type
Year One Schemes						
Bulwell Bogs	350.00	25.00	50.00	275.00		
Hemphill Vale	98.00	40.00			40.00 18.00	SSCF* NCC Parks
Southglade Park	113.00	29.00		14.00	51.00 19.00	SSCF* NCC Parks
Chediston Vale	200.00	40.00	100.00	30.00	30.00	NCC Parks
King George V	55.00	50.00			5.00	NCC Parks
Independent St.	192.00	40.00			102.00 50.00	NDC* WREN*
The Forest	286.92	286.92				
Coppice Rec.	90.00	40.00			50.00	WREN*
Pearmain	75.00	40.00			35.00	WREN*
King Edward Park	70.00	50.00			20.00	NCC Parks
Marmion Park	148.00	50.00	50.00	48.00		
Lenton Abbey	60.00	30.00			30.00	NCC Parks
Dunkirk Park	130.00	40.00	90.00			
Breckswood	100.00	50.00	50.00			
Sunninghill	100.00	50.00	50.00			
Total Year One	2,067.92	860.92	390.00	367.00	450.00	

(*SSCF: Safer, Stronger Communities Fund; NDC: New Deal for Communities; WREN: Waste Recycling Environmental Limited)

- 6.3 The following table shows the proposed projects to be undertaken in 2009/10. As the table shows there is currently a funding shortfall of £840,800.
- 6.4 Significant funding will be required from other sources such as Section 106, Area Capital, Area Committee (Ward Councillor contributions) and other external funding sources such as Landfill Tax Credits if the aspirations for the schemes are to be delivered.
- 6.5 If additional funding is not obtained the size of the individual projects will have to be scaled back.

Yr. Two Schemes	Estimated Total Cost £,000	Pathfinder Funding £,000	Other Funding secured £,000	Type	Other Funding required £,000
Bulwell Hall	200.00	50.00			150.00
Vernon Park	150.00	20.00	40.00	Area Capital	90.00
Whitemoor Park	80.00	20.00	40.00	Area Capital	20.00
Birchover Park	100.00	40.00			60.00
Tennyson Street	80.00	40.00			40.00
Chard Street	60.00	30.00			30.00
Carrington Lido	35.00	30.00			5.00
Sycamore Park	150.00	50.00			100.00
Greens Mill	70.00	30.00			40.00
Lees Hill	30.00	10.00	9.20	Groundwork	10.80
Wollaton Park	200.00	50.00			150.00
Victoria Emb.	150.00	50.00			100.00
Groveside	135.00	50.00	50.00	Area Capital	35.00
Colesbourne	110.00	50.00	50.00	Area Capital	10.00
Total Year Two	1,550.00	520.00	189.20		840.80

- 6.6 The Parks and Open Spaces section will be working with partners and Neighbourhood Management Teams to help secure the funding required for these schemes to be completed on schedule.
- 6.7 All works will be procured in accordance with Financial Regulations and to comply with the requirements of the funding bodies.

7 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

None.

8 OBSERVATIONS OF OTHER OFFICERS

Mark Andrews - Head of Targeted Services 8-13, Children's Services

Children's Services, as the overall lead for the City's Play Pathfinder, supports the recommendations outlined in this report. They are based on sound consultation and audit in order to prioritise developments. Key to these developments will be the ongoing engagement of the community, adults and children alike, in the development and ongoing support of the play and park area.

Children's services will be helping to support this and commissioning a voluntary organisation to administer seeding grants and provide support to park 'friends of 'groups, in line with Breathing Spaces strategic frame work. The developments will have to comply with the ten Pathfinder design principle that include making the use of natural elements, providing a wide range of play experience, being accessible to both disabled and non-disabled children, as well as building in opportunities to experience risk and challenge.

9 EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

All the proposals will meet the current requirements for Equal Opportunities, with external inspections carried out post-installation as required.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES

There are a number of risks associated with the overall scheme:

- *the funding is not utilised* – the City loses out on a large amount of funding for playgrounds that would take many years to recoup;
- *the funding is not fully utilised* - unused funding has to be returned to DCSF and local communities fail to receive playgrounds;
- *match funding for playgrounds is not achieved* – playground improvements cannot take place in totality and programme fails to meet DCSF criteria;
- *tight deadlines for the works to be undertaken for both Year One and Year Two* – the programme falls behind schedule and funding may have to be returned to DCSF;
- *the programme is not properly managed* – the programme will be directly managed by the Parks Development team;
- *costs for projects are above estimates* - the estimated costs are based on recent actual cost. In the event of any cost increases, the individual projects will be reduced in sale to fit the available budget.

11 CONSULTATIONS

11.1 Consultations have been carried out with local communities and elected members about the decisions being taken within this scheme.

11.2 For the Year One 'Quick Wins', the process of consultation was already underway before Pathfinder funding was announced.

11.3 For the Year One Phase 2 and the Year Two schemes, consultation has been ongoing since the announcement of the funding. The majority of the Year One Phase 2 schemes have already been consulted upon and are already in the process of being worked into formal projects.

11.4 Year Two schemes are in the process of being consulted upon, with a view to commissioning works early in 2009/10 to ensure delivery before March 2010.

11.5 Consultation will be carried out with local residents and children, both to the types of equipment for each site and the wider design of the site where funding is present for this element.

12 STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

These improvements will help deliver on a number of the Council's strategic priorities and the Local Area Agreements.

The provision of the above projects can have a number of benefits including:

- increased physical activity in children;
- developing a more cohesive community;
- community influencing local decisions;
- providing improved facilities for children;
- physically transforming neighbourhoods;
- improving an open space within the local community.

13 CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS

13.1 By undertaking improvements to parks and playgrounds, this will provide space for children and, to an extent, teenagers to play and relax. The playgrounds will be designed with 'survivability' in mind, whilst still making them challenging and fun places to play.

13.2 By providing a greater range of diversionary activities (by new playgrounds) across the City it is also hoped that levels of anti-social behaviour and low-level crime may be reduced as teenagers will have their own facilities.

14 VALUE FOR MONEY

The projects will meet the requirements of best value. When required, a tender process will be adopted and match funding will be sought for the project wherever possible.

15 List of background papers other than published works or those disclosing confidential or exempt information

None.

16 Published documents referred to in compiling this report

Executive Board report – Play Pathfinder - 20 May 2008.

Nottingham Play Strategy (2007-2012)

'Breathing Space' – A Strategic Framework for the Management of Nottingham's Open and Green Spaces 2007-2017

HUGH WHITE
DIRECTOR OF SPORT, LEISURE AND PARKS

Telephone: 0115 91 52790

Email: hugh.white@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Contact Officer:

Eddie Curry, Head of Parks and Open Spaces

Telephone: 0115 91 52784

Email: eddie.curry@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Appendix 1

Pathfinder Scheme																				
Site No.	Area	Site name	Yr.	Total Cost	Pathfinder	Section 106	Area Capital	WREN	Potential	New Play	Old Play	Green Flag	Other	Type	Missing funding	Score	Possible type of Play Space and age	ROSPA Risk levels	Score	Date of installation
1		Bulwell BMX		£250,000											£250,000	0	Site Improvements	M	3	1990's
2	1	Bulwell Boogs	1	£350,000	£25,000	£275,000	£50,000								£0	5	Water Park 0+	N/A	0	1970's
3	1	Hempshill Vale	1	£80,000	£40,000										£0	5	Equipped up to 14	M	3	1999
4	1	Southglade Park I	1	£91,000	£29,000	£14,000							£40,000	SSCF	£0	5	Equipped up to 14	M	3	1990
5	1	Southglade Park II	2	£180,000			£180,000								£0	5	Teenage Equipped	N/A	0	N/A
6	1	Bulwell Forest		£150,000											£150,000	0	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	M	3	1999
7	1	Bulwell Hall Park	2	£290,000	£50,000										£150,000	0	Equipped up to 14	H	5	1994
8	1	Hambing Close		£5,000											£5,000	0	Poss. Remove	M	3	1999
9	1	Lalimer Close		£80,000											£80,000	0	Equipped up to 14	M	3	1999
10	1	Ragdale Road		£15,000											£15,000	0	Site improvements	L	1	2004
11	1	Willow Tree Close		£60,000											£60,000	0	Equipped up to 10	N/A	0	N/A
12	1	Commercial Road		£60,000											£60,000	0	Equipped up to 10	M	3	1993
13	1	Hoewood Road		£40,000				£40,000	Poss 09?						£0	0	Equipped up to 14	M	3	1999
14	2	Highbury Vale / Brooklyn Close		£125,000											£125,000	0	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	M	3	1990's
15	2	Natal Road Rec		£150,000											£150,000	0	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	N/A	0	N/A
16	2	Vernon Park	2	£100,000	£20,000		£40,000								£40,000	0	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	M	3	1990's
17	2	Heathfield Park	1	£132,000				£30,000							£0	5	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	M	3	1990's
18	2	Britanna Avenue		£5,000									£102,000	Lottery	£0	5	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	M	3	1990's
19	2	Chedston Vale	1	£200,000	£40,000	£30,000	£100,000			£30,000					£5,000	0	Poss. Remove	M	3	1998
20	2	Cornorake 2		£2,500											£0	5	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	M	3	1990
21	2	Cornorake 1		£10,000											£2,500	0	Poss Remove (2x springers)	M	3	1998
22	2	Basford Junction		£15,000											£10,000	0	Improve Site	M	3	1998
23	2	Femleigh Drive		£75,000											£15,000	0	MUGA site improvements	?	0	1990's
24	2	Japonica Drive		£15,000											£75,000	0	Equipped up to 10	M	3	2000
25	2	Gabriele Close		£10,000											£15,000	0	Equipped up to 10	L	1	1990's
26	2	Gothic Close		£15,000											£10,000	0	Site Improvements	H	5	2003
27	2	Hazel Hill	1	£7,500			£7,500								£15,000	0	Site Improvements	?	?	1990's
28	2	Lincoln Street		£25,000											£0	5	Poss. Remove	N/A	?	N/A
29	2	Mounifield Open Space	1	£50,000			£50,000								£25,000	0	Equipped up to 14	M	3	2000
30	2	Park Lane / Walls Street		£80,000											£0	5	Equipped up to 10	M	3	1990
31	2	Mosswood Crescent	1	£50,000			£50,000								£80,000	0	Equipped up to 14	M	3	1990's
32	2	Whitemoor	2	£80,000	£20,000		£40,000								£0	5	MUGA 5-15	N/A	?	N/A
33	West	Balloon Woods (Play Service)	?												£0	0		M	3	1990's
34	West	Broxtowe Country Park		£300,000											£300,000	0	Equipped up to 14	M	3	1990's
35	West	Moor Road		£75,000											£75,000	0	Equipped up to 10	M	3	1990's
36	West	Orchard Court		£15,000											£15,000	0	Potential remove site	M	3	1990's
37	West	Whitwell Road		£5,000											£5,000	0	Site improvements	L	1	2006
38	West	Strelley Rec		£80,000											£80,000	0	Equipped up to 14	N/A	0	N/A
39	West	Phoenix Park (Play Service)	?												£0	0	Play Centre Site	N/A	?	1990's
40	West	Assarts Road (Nuthall PC)		£80,000											£80,000	0	Equipped up to 14	M	3	1990's
41	West	Lancaster Way		£5,000											£5,000	0	Poss. Remove for Strelley	M	3	1990's
42	West	Rosedale Road		£40,000											£40,000	0	Small CPG under 10's	H	5	1990's
43	West	Birchover Road Park	2	£100,000	£40,000										£60,000	3	Equipped to 14 and teenage			Un k
44	West	Woodfield Road		£40,000											£40,000	0	Small CPG under 10's	H	5	2002
45	West	King George V	1	£85,000	£50,000					£5,000					£0	3	Disabled emphasis up to 14	H	5	1998
46	West	King George V	2	£120,000		£50,000									£0	3	Disabled emphasis up to 14	H	5	1998
46	West	Western Boulevard		£60,000											£60,000	0	Equipped up to 14	H	5	1990's
47	4	Maples Street (Skate Park)		£5,000											£5,000	0	Site Improvements	M	3	2005
48	4	The Forest	1, 2	£285,320	£285,320										£0	5	Equipped all ages	M	3	1990's
49	4	Bentink School		£10,000											£10,000	0	Site improvements	N/A	0	2006
50	4	Sturton Street		£60,000											£60,000	0	Equipped up to 10	M	3	1990's
51	4	Tennyson Street (Cookoo's Nest)	2	£80,000	£40,000										£40,000	0	Equipped 8-14	M	3	1990's
52	4	The Castle		£100,000											£100,000	0	Equipped up to 14	L	1	1998
53	4	Forster Street		£80,000											£80,000	0	Equipped 8-14	M	3	1990's
54	4	Silverdale Road		£5,000											£5,000	0	Poss. remove	M	3	1990's
55	4	ASDA Site		£50,000											£50,000	0	Site improvements	M	3	1990's
56	4	John Carol Leisure Centre		£80,000											£80,000	0	Equipped up to 14	M	3	2002
57	4	Poplar Avenue		£75,000											£75,000	0	Equipped up to 10	M	3	1990's
58	4	Heady Wills		£60,000											£60,000	0	Equipped up to 10	M	3	1990's
59	4	Ronald Street		£40,000											£40,000	0	Equipped up to 10	H	5	1994
60	4	Independent Street	1	£192,000	£40,000		£50,000		Jun-08	£36,000	NCC (mc)		£66,000	NDC	£0	3	Equipped up to 14 + MUGA	M	3	1990's
61	4	Sandpiper way / Salisbury Square		£100,000											£100,000	0	Remove one, imp. access	M/H	5	1990's

Score	ODA	Score	Play Quality Score	Score	Population Stats	Score	Breathing Space Priority	Score	Councillor Interest	Score	Community involvement	Score	TOTAL SCORE	Other information
3	2	3	30	1	23-34%	4	No	0	Yes	3	None	0	17	
5	N/A	0	N/A	0	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	25	Match funding for part of the Water Park scheme (COMPLETE)
3	2	3	22	3	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	32	Playground equipment purchase funded by SSCF
3	4	1	26	2	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	29	Playground equipment purchase funded by SSCF
0	4	1	26	2	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	23	Area Capital funding from Area 1 and 2
3	3	2	26	2	13-18%	2	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	21	Master plan produced, could form part of a bigger scheme
3	5	0	29	2	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	24	Will need to form part of a bigger site regeneration package
3	8	0	22	3	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	Some	3	18	Possibly remove as part of bigger Hemphill Vale Park Scheme
3	3	2	22	3	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	14	
1	5	0	21	3	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	Some	3	10	
0	5	0	19	4	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	None	0	8	
3	3	2	21	3	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	Some	3	18	
3	1	4	19	4	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Good	5	22	Potential for funding to be in with Lottery scheme on site
3	5	0	31	1	23-34%	4	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	17	
0	N/A	0	N/A	0	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	12	
3	3	2	23	3	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	25	Part of 'phase 2' improvement works to the site
3	6	0	27	2	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	28	Combination funding, inc. WREN, Area Capital and Lottery
3	2	3	19	4	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Some	3	19	Possibly remove 2 other CPG's in close proximity
5	1	4	19	4	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	35	Funding to include Section 106, Area Capital, Pathfinder and Post WREN
3	6	0	22	3	18-23%	3	No	0	Yes	0	Some	3	16	Remove as only 2 spring animals and improve Comrake 1
3	6	0	31	1	18-23%	3	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	16	
3	2	3	17	4	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	13	
1	8	0	28	2	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	8	
3	7	0	34	1	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Some	3	11	
1	3	2	21	3	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	14	
3	7	0	21	3	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Some	3	12	
	N/A		N/A		13-18%	2	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	16	Area Capital funding
1	0	5	17	4	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	18	
5	8	0	26	2	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	28	Area Capital Funding
3	3	2	22	3	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	17	Potential 106 funding available
	N/A		N/A		13-18%	2	No	3	Yes	3	Some	3	16	Area Capital Funding
3	4	1	22	3	23-34%	4	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	22	Good long standing TRA backing
3	0	5	24	3	23-34%	4	Yes	3	No	0	N/A	0	21	Not viable for scheme as not 'publically accessible'
3	2	3	20	3	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	27	Could form part of wider regeneration scheme (but may be a bit early)
3	9	0	32	1	18-23%	3	No	0	Yes	0	None	0	10	
3	1	4	28	2	13-18%	2	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	20	Local TRA happy to be involved in looking at the site.
1	8	0	26	2	23-34%	4	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	14	
0	N/A	0	N/A	0	18-23%	3	Yes	0	Yes	3	Some	3	9	No CPG currently so scores low, but has good potential
3	2	3	21	3	18-23%	3	Yes	3	No	0	N/A	0	15	
3	0	5	12	5	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	None	0	20	Site outside of the City Boundary
3	3	2	23	3	23-34%	4	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	21	Possible remove in favour of Strelley Rec. CPG
3	3	2	26	2	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	None	0	16	
					18-23%	3	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	12	Equipped site
1	6	0	24	3	23-34%	4	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	19	Potential for works based on local community need.
3	2	3	18	4	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	30	Lottery funding for year 2 and also possible S106 funding - disabled play
3	2	3	18	4	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	30	Lottery funding for year 2 and also possible S106 funding - disabled play
3	3	2	23	3	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	15	
1	2	3	52	0	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	Some	3	12	
3	8	0	48	0	4-13%	1	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	23	
1	6	0	31	1	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	Some	3	9	
3	4	1	20	3	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Some	3	16	
3	0	5	35	1	13-18%	2	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	20	Potential transfer of Play service site to public playground
3	5	0	37	1	4-13%	1	No	0	Yes	3	None	0	9	
3	0	5	35	1	13-18%	2	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	20	Site required match funding if approved.
3	2	3	32	1	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	13	
3	9	0	36	1	13-18%	2	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	15	
1	2	3	26	2	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	8	
3	9	0	32	1	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	9	
3	8	0	30	1	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Some	3	13	
3	8	0	32	1	4-13%	1	No	0	No	0	None	0	10	
3	0	0	29	2	13-18%	2	Yes	3	No	0	Good	5	21	NDC working up scheme, with additional Pathfinder funding
3	4/3	2	20/17	4	4-13%	1	No	0	No	0	Some	3	16	

3	3	2	26	2	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Good	5	18	Consultation already done
3	4	1	30	1	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	Some	3	16	
3	5	0	51	0	13-18%	2	Yes	3	No	0	Good	5	16	Good Friends group and an excellent eg of 'natural play' link to below
1	8	0	48	0	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	Some	3	13	
3	0	5	33	1	18-23%	3	Yes	3	No	0	None	0	16	
3	0	5	40	0	4-13%	1	No	0	No	0	Good	5	22	
3	1	4	23	3	13-18%	2	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	29	MUGA and playground improvement scheme
3	0	5	31	1	4-13%	1	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	14	
3	0	5	24	3	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	33	WREN and Pathfinder funding for small CPG site
1	6	0	29	2	18-23%	3	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	15	
3	0	5	15	4	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	8	
3	7	0	28	2	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	9	Potential to remove this site outside of Pathfinder to improve Pirate park
3	6	0	29	2	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	10	
3	0	5	31	1	4-13%	1	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	26	Renewal Trust already looking to secure funding for imp works
1	No Access				13-18%	2	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	10	
1	8	0	33	1	13-18%	2	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	11	
3	4	1	33	1	4-13%	1	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	15	Potential site needing works to help improve appeal to tourists etc.
3	8	0	41	0	4-13%	1	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	18	
3	4	1	18	4	4-13%	1	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	19	Groundwork looking for match funding for the rest of the scheme
3	0	5	19	4	13-18%	2	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	23	Scheme being proposed by Leicester Housing (Stonebridge development)
3	7	0	31	1	4-13%	1	No	0	No	0	None	0	2	
3	6	0	47	0	4-13%	1	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	16	
3	0	5	32	1	13-18%	2	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	14	
5	0	5	30	1	4-13%	1	No	0	No	0	None	0	15	
3	0	5	11	5	18-23%	3	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	25	MUGA already installed. Close to St Ann's Play Centre
3	4	1	39	1	18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	27	New CPG to be in with Friends funding as a candidate Green Flag site
3	Un equipped site				18-23%	3	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	12	Potential Section 106 use on site
3	4	1	39	1	18-23%	3	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	17	
3	0	5	33	1	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	30	Playground improvements to be in with Green Flag aspirations
3	No Access				13-18%	3	No	0	No	0	Some	3	12	
3	2	3	38	1	13-18%	2	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	19	Potential site for improving older children's facilities
3	3	2	46	0	4-13%	1	No	0	Yes	3	Good	5	17	Will form part of wider regeneration scheme (but may be a bit early)
3	9	0	33	1	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	11	
3	2	3	34	1	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	Some	3	15	
3	8	0	39	1	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	9	
3	9	0	39	1	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	Some	3	12	Community involvement in looking at improving the site the site
3	5	0	37	1	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	8	
3	4	1	48	0	13-18%	2	No	0	Yes	3	Good	5	19	Will form part of wider regeneration scheme (but may be a bit early)
3	1	4	19	4	4-13%	1	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	29	Whole site improvements using Area Capital, Pathfinder and possibly WREN
1	9	0	53	0	13-18%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	21	Funding being sought for improvements to MUGA
1	2	3	21	3	13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	None	0	12	
1	6	0	35	1	4-13%	1	No	0	No	0	None	0	6	
1	?		?		13-18%	2	No	0	No	0	Some	3	7	
0	No Access				13-18%	2	Yes	3	No	0	Some	3	6	
3	0	5	20	3	13-18%	2	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	32	Area Capital already secured for this year, plans in progress
3	No equipment currently				13-18%	2	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	18	Section 106 funded scheme
3	0	5	29	2	?		Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	25	Potential Area Capital for year 2
3	3	2	33	1	23-34%	4	No	0	No	0	None	0	13	
3	0	5	20	3	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	30	Potential Area Capital for year 2
3	1	4	24	3	13-18%	2	No	0	Yes	3	Some	3	23	Good community support, but no funding allocated yet
3	9	0	21	3	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	None	0	19	
5	0	5	26	2	18-23%	3	No	0	No	0	None	0	10	
3	8	0	38	1	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	20	Potential Section 106 from Extra Care housing for this scheme
3	No Access				18-23%	3	Yes	3	Yes	3	Some	3	20	Potential Area Capital for year 2
0	No equipment currently				4-13%	1	Yes	3	Yes	3	Good	5	15	CPG previously removed. Area Capital secured for 08/09
3	0	5	19	4	23-34%	4	Yes	3	Yes	3	None	0	25	Potential Section 106 from Extra Care housing for this scheme

Proposed other year 1

Proposed Yr 2 schemes

Other Funded Playgrounds